Document 8

Filed 04/30/2008

Page 2 of 3

Case 3:08-cr-00422-MMC

1 account the public interest in prompt disposition of criminal cases, good cause exists for this 2 extension. The defendant also agrees to exclude for this period of time any time limits applicable under 3 4 18 U.S.C. § 3161. The parties represented that granting the continuance was the reasonable time 5 necessary for continuity of defense counsel. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). The parties also 6 agreed that the ends of justice served by granting such a continuance outweighed the best 7 interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A). 8 SO STIPULATED: 9 JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO 10 United States Attorney 11 **DATED:** April 30, 2008 12 TAREK J. HELOU 13 Assistant United States Attorney 14 15 DATED: April 30, 2008 **GEOFFREY HANSEN** 16 Attorney for Defendant Margaret Pek Hoon Lim 17 18 For the reasons stated above, the Court finds that exclusion of time from April 16, 2008 through May 7, 2008 is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance 19 outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. §3161 20 21 (h)(8)(A); FRCP 5.1(d). The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny the 22 defendant continuity of counsel, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. 23 §3161(h)(8)(B)(iv). 24 SO ORDERED. 25 26 27 DATED: THE HONORABLE JAMES LARSON 28 United States Magistrate Judge

Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Excluding Time CR 08-70183 JCS